

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/582,702	Applicant(s) SCHLOM ET AL.		
	Examiner ANNE GUSSOW	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 1643 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1643	
Art Unit 1643				

All Participants:

(1) ANNE GUSSOW.

(2) Rachel Maidrich, applicant's representative.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

46-62, 67-71, and 79-95

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed rejoinder of withdrawn claims. Discussed amendment of withdrawn claims to place the claims in condition for allowance, as set forth in the accompanying examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Anne M. Gussow/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)